

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1459 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspo.gov

APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO		
09/319,438		06/07/1999	JOHN WALTERS	14-196PCT	3079		
2292	7590	12/19/2003		EXAM	EXAMINER		
BIRCH ST	EWART	KOLASCH & BIF	PRATT, CHRI	PRATT, CHRISTOPHER C			
PO BOX 74 FALLS CH		VA 22040-0747		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER			
	,			1771			

DATE MAILED: 12/19/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				\sim				
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	Applica	tion No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summan	09/319,		WALTERS ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examin	er	Art Unit					
		oher C Pratt	1771	$\overline{}$				
The MAILING DATE of this commun Period for Reply	ication appears on t	he cover sheet with the o	correspondence addr	ess				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F THE MAILING DATTE OF THIS COMMUNI - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comm - If the period for reply specified above, the maximum st - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply - Any reply received by the Office later than three months a earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	ICATION. of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no nunication. 0) days, a reply within the statutory period will apply and will, by statute, cause the a	event, however, may a reply be tir tatutory minimum of thirty (30) day will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from polication to become ABANDONE	mely filed ys will be considered timely. the mailing date of this comr ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nunication.				
1) Responsive to communication(s) file	d on <u>14 August 200</u>	<u>23</u> .						
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL .	b)☐ This action is	non-final.						
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims								
4) Claim(s) 12-32 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) 14-32 is/ar 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 12 and 13 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restrict	e withdrawn from o							
Application Papers								
9) The specification is objected to by the								
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are:								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
	by the Examiner.	vote the attached Office	ACTION OF TORM PTO-	102,				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	for form the majority of		.) (1) (7)					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority 2. Certified copies of the priority 3. Copies of the certified copies application from the Internatio * See the attached detailed Office actio 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for since a specific reference was included 37 CFR 1.78. a) ⊤ The translation of the foreign lan 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for reference was included in the first sent	documents have be documents have be of the priority documental Bureau (PCT Run for a list of the ceror domestic priority d in the first sentencinguage provisional apriority of domestic priority of domestic priority	een received. een received in Applicationents have been received in Applicationents have been received in Application of the specification of application has been received in the specification of the specification has been received in the specification of th	ion No ed in this National Stated. e) (to a provisional aprin an Application Dates eived.	pplication) ata Sheet. specific				
Attachment(s)								
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Page 1		4) Interview Summary 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:						

Art Unit: 1771

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1 Applicant's amendments and accompanying remarks filed 8/14/03 have been entered and carefully considered. Applicant's amendment is found to overcome the claim objection set forth in the previous action. Despite this advance, the amendments are not found to patently distinguish the claims over the prior art and Applicant's arguments are not found persuasive of patentability for reasons set forth herein below.

Election/Restrictions

2. This application contains claims 14-32 drawn to an invention nonelected with traverse. A complete reply to the final rejection must include cancelation of nonelected claims or other appropriate action (37 CFR 1.144) See MPEP § 821.01. Applicant argues that some claims depend from elected claims 12-13. These claims will be rejoined and allowed in the event that claims 12-13 are found allowable.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

- 3. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 4. Claims 12-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Cantwell (5786028), as set forth in the previous action.

Applicant has amended the claim to include the limitation that the nonwoven mat is permeable to a gypsum plaster slurry. It is the examiner's position that the nonwoven

Application/Control Number: 09/319,438

Art Unit: 1771

mat of Cantwell is inherently permeable to gypsum slurry, because nonwoven materials are inherently permeable. Applicant argues that in order for Cantwell's tape to serve in its intended function it must be impermeable to liquid coatings. The examiner agrees with applicant's position. The inherent permeability of Cantwell's fabric is overcome with additional layers laminated to both sides of fabric. These additional layers render the laminate impermeable, but the fabric itself is still inherently permeable and therefore reads on applicant's claim limitation.

Applicant argues that Cantwell fails to teach a nonwoven material. However, in the bridging paragraph of cols. 5-6, Cantwell discloses that the body of the tape is either a glass fabric or a "fiberglass." The term glass fabric is used in the art to refer to woven or mesh materials and the word "fiberglass" is used to refer to nonwoven materials.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

5. Claims 12-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dickenson et al (5409768) in view of Caldwell et al (5856245), Amann (5223329), Tashiro et al (5204041), as set forth in the previous action.

Applicant argues that Caldwell teaches away from the use of inorganic fibers by teaching that "reinforcing fibers" "limit the ability to scarf the absorbent to contour or shave its exterior design." Applicant equates inorganic fibers with the "reinforcing fibers" referenced by Dickenson. However, there is no indication that reinforcing fibers are inorganic fibers. Moreover, even if the term "reinforcing fibers" referred to inorganic fibers there is indication that all inorganic fibers would qualify as "reinforcing fibers." L

Application/Control Number: 09/319,438

Art Unit: 1771

Also, later in the paragraph, Dickenson teaches that "reinforcing fibers" can actually be used in the product by using certain processing conditions.

Applicant argues that inorganic fibers would decrease Dickenson's ability to shave off fibers. Some inorganic fibers, such as metal or glass, would cause difficulty in shaving, but many inorganic fibers could be easily shaved. Applicant's claims fail to specify what inorganic fibers are used.

Conclusion

6. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Christopher Pratt whose telephone number is 703-305-6559. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday from 7 am to 4 pm.

Application/Control Number: 09/319,438

Art Unit: 1771

If attempts to reach the examiner are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Terrel Morris can be reached on 703-308-2414. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9310 for regular communications and 703-872-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0661.

Christopher C. Pratt December 8, 2003

> Primary Examiner Tech Center 1700